Dear Chair Powell, Acting Comptroller Hsu, Assistant Attorney General Kanter, and Chair Gruenberg,

We write to oppose the proposed merger of Capital One Financial Corporation (“Capital One”) and Discover Financial Services (“Discover”). On February 19, 2024, Capital One announced a $35.3 billion agreement to acquire Discover. We believe the transaction poses a risk to financial stability in the U.S. banking system and threatens competition in both banking and the credit card market. Additionally, this is no traditional bank or credit card issuer merger. Capital One’s acquisition of the Discover payment network would create the ability and incentive for the merged firm to hike interchange fees to the detriment of American businesses and consumers. Consequently, we believe the transaction is illegal under both the Bank Merger Act and the Clayton Act.

Banking regulators at the Federal Reserve and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, responsible for reviewing bank merger applications, are required to evaluate a transaction’s effects according to several prongs, including its effects on industry competition, risks to financial stability, its impact on the public interest, the condition of the banks’ finances, management, and future prospects, and the ability of either firm to combat money laundering. Every prong of the bank merger review framework indicates that bank regulators should deny this merger. If bank regulators do not reject this merger, we urge the Justice Department to sue to block the deal.

**Competitive Impacts**

The transaction will likely harm competition in three markets:

---

1. **Payment Networks**: Banks issue credit cards that run on payment networks, which connect merchants, consumers, and their banks. The payment network industry is highly concentrated with excessive and persistently high profits, dominated by the Visa-Mastercard duopoly with an 83% market share, with American Express and Discover making up the rest of the market. Capital One argues the deal will help scale up Discover to better compete with Visa and Mastercard, and thus it will benefit consumers. This outcome is improbable, a conclusion shared by leading Wall Street analysts. Capital One’s significant cardholder base, once transitioned to the Discover network, will magnify Discover’s pricing power in interchange fees, enabling Capital One to raise prices for merchants and consumers who will have little choice but to accept the cards of America’s largest issuer.

A key component of Capital One’s acquisition of Discover is Discover’s debit card network, which would allow Capital One to exploit Discover’s so-called “three party” Durbin Amendment exemption, which the Federal Reserve inserted into regulations in 2011. As a result, the merged firm could sidestep federal debit interchange fee caps and routing mandates and be the sole payment network on issued and on-network debit cards. Combined with its significant number of bank accounts, this loophole would give Capital One the legal shield and leverage to raise debit interchange fees for American businesses, who would be forced to accept its debit cards or lose access to its customer base. One financial services analyst estimated that Capital One debit interchange fee hikes could cost American businesses and consumers $810 million yearly.

2. **Banking**: Today, the six largest banks control more assets ($13.6 trillion) than all others combined. This consolidation has led to a range of harms, from increased costs of credit and fees to depressed deposit interest rates and cutbacks in residential mortgage and small business lending. Approval of a Capital One-Discover merger would exacerbate these problems, eliminating midsize U.S. banks and further reducing competition and consumer choice in the industry.

---

5 “By shifting its debit volume to Discover’s network, Capital One can charge merchants higher fees, which could lead to around $800 million of pre-tax earnings upside based on estimated debit volumes of $90 billion.” See: Marc Rubenstein, "The Third Network," Net Interest, Feb 23, 2024, [https://www.netinterest.co/p/the-third-network](https://www.netinterest.co/p/the-third-network)
7 Ibid.
3. **Credit Card Issuers:** Capital One-Discover would create the largest U.S. credit card lender, with a projected market share of over 20% of the market. The market for credit card issuing is also already highly concentrated. A 2024 report by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau found “high levels of concentration” and “practices that imply anti-competitive behavior” in the industry, noting that the top 10 credit card banks “dominate the marketplace.”

Credit cards, according to the Bureau, “have never been this expensive.” The CFPB also found that larger banks charge higher credit card rates than smaller banks, identifying Capital One as among the highest charging banks with annual percentage rates of more than 30 percent. Issuers charged Americans a record high $130 billion in interest and fees in 2022. For many cardholders with subprime scores, these interest and fees can amount to 30 to 40 cents on each dollar borrowed through a credit card. Credit cards take advantage of vulnerable consumers – but for card issuing banks, credit cards constitute one of the most profitable segments of their business. Noted a Federal Reserve report, “Credit card earnings have almost always been higher than returns on all bank activities.” A Capital One-Discover merger would eliminate a top U.S. credit card issuer and further consolidate the industry, potentially leading to even higher prices.

**Impacts Along Bank Merger Review Framework**

Beyond reducing competition, this transaction also contravenes each of the other factors in the bank merger review framework as set forth in the Bank Merger Act. These are:

1. **Financial Stability of the United States banking or financial system:** To ensure the U.S. financial system could avoid another financial crisis like the one Americans endured in 2008, which required billions of taxpayer dollars to stabilize the largest U.S. banks, the Dodd-Frank Act requires bank regulators at the Federal Reserve and OCC to consider the impacts of any merger transaction on the stability of the financial system. This deal would further concentrate risk in the financial system, creating the sixth-largest U.S. bank, an institution surpassing the size of GSIBs like Goldman Sachs. As recently as the spring of 2023, the federal government found itself forced to shore up a set of regional banks through the expansion of deposit insurance and government-subsidized mergers. With $625 billion in assets, Capital One-Discover would be larger than the three regional banks that failed last spring combined. Additionally, Capital One-Discover would have a

---


10 Dan Martinez and Margaret Seikel, "Credit card interest rate margins at all-time high," CFPB, [https://www.consumerfinance.gov/about-us/blog/credit-card-interest-rate-margins-at-all-time-high/](https://www.consumerfinance.gov/about-us/blog/credit-card-interest-rate-margins-at-all-time-high/)


key vulnerability given its asset overconcentration in credit cards and other consumer lending like auto loans, both sensitive to economic stress.

2. **Finances, Operational Resources, and Management**: The financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the merging firms are littered with operational risk and compliance issues. In recent years, regulators at the CFPB and FDIC have issued multiple consent orders against Discover for violating consumer protection laws and defective compliance programs. Capital One’s acquisition of ING Direct came with conditions from the Federal Reserve requiring them to improve its risk management. Despite this, the firm has had a litany of major compliance issues spanning the decade following that merger. The bank also meets the OCC's definition of a bank with "persistent weaknesses" due to their two federal settlements since 2021, under the 2023 revision to their enforcement manual. The manual suggests penalties for such firms including restrictions on growth.

3. **Money Laundering**: The Treasury and OCC have found Capital One liable for violating federal anti-money laundering laws, together fining the firm nearly half a billion dollars in the past six years. Particularly concerning is the fact that Capital One was a repeat offender, forcing the OCC to levy a $100 million fine against the firm in 2018 for ongoing violations in direct contravention of its 2015 consent order.

4. **Public Interest and ‘Convenience and Needs of the Communities to be Served’**: The Bank Merger Act requires the regulators to deny a merger that has adverse effects on competition unless “clearly outweighed in the public interest by the probable effect of the transaction in meeting the convenience and need of the community to be served.” Vague claims that the merger will allow Capital One to compete with Visa and Mastercard

---


cannot be taken at face value, given there are no clear and specific demonstrations that post-merger pricing will provide long-term consumer benefits. Even arguments that the deal would help lower payment network interchange fees are not supported by the facts – quite the opposite, as Capital One’s own description of its play for the Durbin-exempt Discover debit network shows. Higher prices for American consumers and businesses resulting from increased consolidation in banking, credit cards, and payment networks also contravene the public interest.

Meanwhile, many adverse effects of the proposed merger are clear. In terms of local Community Reinvestment Act impact, the merger may put nearly $300 million in Discover community development loans and investments at risk, including affordable housing investments made under CRA. Further, the 1,000 jobs Discover maintains in Delaware appear to be at risk, given Capital One’s public statements specifying only that Discover’s Chicago location would be preserved.19

Capital One has also demonstrated its willingness to target its most financially strained customers with predatory products even at its current level of market power: Press reports have described positively-marketed practices that were designed to increase debt burdens on a targeted segment of subprime customers who company analysts had identified as likely to carry balances at high interest rates.20 One former employee even described a since-lapsed Capital One “program to extend $100 credit limit increases on our credit cards to people who wouldn’t ordinarily qualify for credit limit increases, but who lived in low-to-middle income neighborhoods” with the specific intent of cosmetically improving the bank’s Community Reinvestment Act exam scores.21

The Government Must Deny This Transaction

Antitrust enforcers are returning to their original legal mandates to maintain open, decentralized, and competitive markets. The 2021 Executive Order on Competition tasked bank regulators with breaking the cycle of concentration in their industry, directing the Federal Reserve, FDIC, and OCC to assist the Department of Justice in the “revitalization of merger oversight” per the banking statutes in order to “guard against excessive market power.” The banking regulators have yet to do so, approving several transactions in 2023 that increased concentration while invoking financial stability concerns. But their actions show the intrinsic relationship between

---

competitive and open markets and financial stability. Indeed, the regulators’ approval of New York Community Bank acquiring Signature, viewed retrospectively, shows that neither mandate was well served: NYCB’s ongoing concentrated exposure has now placed that institution at risk.

If the Federal Reserve and OCC do not block this illegal transaction, the Justice Department, which retains the statutory authority to sue to block bank mergers approved by federal bank regulators, must step in independently.\textsuperscript{22} We urge the public release of the DOJ Antitrust Division’s competitive factors report once submitted to the Federal Reserve and OCC so that the American people and their elected representatives can thoroughly understand the full array of competitive harms this transaction poses.

The scale and scope of harms represented by this transaction are enormous. The threat is grave, not just to the dynamism of the economy and the stability and competitiveness of the financial services sector, but to American businesses and the health of consumers across the country. Bank regulators, and, if necessary, the Justice Department, must deny it.
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\textsuperscript{22} 12 U.S.C. §§ 1828(c), 1842